



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,733	02/19/2002	Gerhard Beckmann	21535-009	7617

35437 7590 03/11/2005

MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY & POPEO
666 THIRD AVENUE
NEW YORK, NY 10017

EXAMINER

LE, HOA VAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1752

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

h

10/079,733

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

20050309

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Mr. Brian P. Hopkins calls on 08 March 2005 in concerning with the Office action mailed on 03 March 2005.

(1) The amended claim set filed 15 October 2004 lists the previously canceled claims. However, there is no request for them to be re-entered or printed on a patent. It is reasonable.

(2) Applicants state for the record in the response filed on 15 October 2004 that the newly added method claims 90 to 100 contain or read within all of the limitations of the allowable material claim with the allowable material claim 87 being broadest.

* It is not found to be convincing since they have been carefully studied with word-by-word or wording phrases. They are not the same or contain or read within all of the limitations of an allowable material claim.

(3) Mr. Hopkins goes on to explain.

** It is requested that a comparison should be sent by fax to (571) 272-1332 for another study.

(4) Three pages by fax on 08 March 2005 have been carefully studied but are not found to be convincing.

*** "material" in claim 90 is broader than "alloy" in claim 85.

**** "in response to" in claim 95 is broader than "in direct relation" in claim 87.

***** "in relation to" alone or with "capable" in claim 99 is broader than "in direct relation" in claim 87.

Accordingly, the non-entering action of the amended claims set filed 15 October 2004 is proper.

The record shows that the issued fee was paid. No response or amendment will be considered at this late state of the prosecution.

HOA VAN LE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Hoa Van Le

Hoa V. Le
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1752